

# Fact-Finding Committee Evaluation Worksheet

Prepared by  
Ric Davis  
Township Supervisor

Reviewed for Form and Process by

Greg Need  
Township Counsel

Gerry Fisher  
Special Counsel

Review and Concurrence Statement

This document has been reviewed by Township Counsel and Special Counsel. Counsel approved the process outlined herein and concur that the process described is legally sufficient and consistent with applicable law and Township procedures.

Such review does not alter the supervisory authorship of this document but reflects counsel's agreement as to the legal validity of the process described.

Instructions to Committee Member

This worksheet is to be completed independently following the Review Kickoff Meeting. Committee members shall not discuss the proposals or their evaluations with other committee members prior to submission.

The purpose of this worksheet is to document individual observations regarding the qualifications, experience, and suitability of consulting firms relative to the Township's Scope of Work. Completion of this worksheet does not constitute a recommendation or decision.

## **Evaluation Area 1 Independence and Objectivity**

Which firm appears to be better positioned to provide an independent and objective review for the Township?

- Ramboll Americas
- Fleis & VandenBrink
- Appear generally equivalent
- Insufficient information to determine

Notes for Committee Discussion

---

---

---

**Evaluation Area 2**

**Experience with Comparable Mining or Extractive Operations**

Which firm demonstrates stronger experience reviewing mining, aggregate, or extractive operations similar in scale, complexity, or public sensitivity?

- Ramboll Americas
- Fleis & VandenBrink
- Appear generally equivalent
- Insufficient information to determine

Notes for Committee Discussion

---

---

---

**Evaluation Area 3**

**Technical Expertise Across Required Disciplines**

Which firm appears better able to provide or coordinate the range of technical expertise required under the Township Scope of Work, including environmental, hydrogeological, engineering, and regulatory review?

- Ramboll Americas
- Fleis & VandenBrink
- Appear generally equivalent
- Insufficient information to determine

Notes for Committee Discussion

---

---

---

**Evaluation Area 4**

**Assigned Personnel and Qualifications**

Based on the personnel proposed to perform the work, which firm appears to offer stronger individual qualifications, depth of experience, and appropriateness of assigned roles?

- Ramboll Americas
- Fleis & VandenBrink
- Appear generally equivalent
- Insufficient information to determine

Notes for Committee Discussion

---

---

---

**Evaluation Area 5**  
**Alignment with Township Scope of Work**

Which proposal more clearly aligns with the Township’s Scope of Work and demonstrates an understanding of the Township’s review needs?

- Ramboll Americas
- Fleis & VandenBrink
- Appear generally equivalent
- Insufficient information to determine

Notes for Committee Discussion

---

---

---

**Evaluation Area 6**  
**Quality and Defensibility of Deliverables**

Which firm appears better positioned to produce written analyses, reports, and findings that are thorough, well-documented, and defensible for Township decision-making?

- Ramboll Americas
- Fleis & VandenBrink
- Appear generally equivalent
- Insufficient information to determine

Notes for Committee Discussion

---

---

---

**Evaluation Area 7**  
**Ordinance and Regulatory Familiarity**

Which firm demonstrates stronger familiarity with local ordinances, zoning considerations, and regulatory frameworks relevant to the Township’s review?

- Ramboll Americas
- Fleis & VandenBrink
- Appear generally equivalent
- Insufficient information to determine

Notes for Committee Discussion

---

---

---

**Evaluation Area 8**  
**Monitoring and Ongoing Support Capability**

Which firm appears better suited to provide ongoing monitoring, follow-up analysis, or support beyond an initial report, if required by the Township?

- Ramboll Americas
- Fleis & VandenBrink
- Appear generally equivalent
- Insufficient information to determine

Notes for Committee Discussion

---

---

---

**Evaluation Area 9**  
**Cost Structure and Scope Control**

Which proposal demonstrates a clearer, more manageable cost structure and approach to scope control consistent with Township oversight?

- Ramboll Americas
- Fleis & VandenBrink
- Appear generally equivalent
- Insufficient information to determine

Notes for Committee Discussion

---

---

---

**Evaluation Area 10**  
**Credibility and Professional Standing**

Based on experience, reputation, and prior work, which firm appears likely to bring the highest level of professional credibility to this review?

- Ramboll Americas
- Fleis & VandenBrink
- Appear generally equivalent
- Insufficient information to determine

Notes for Committee Discussion

---

---

---

**Evaluation Area 11**  
**Ability to Clearly Present Complex Technical Information**

Which firm appears better able to present complex and scientific information in a clear, understandable manner for Township officials and residents without technical backgrounds?

- Ramboll Americas
- Fleis & VandenBrink
- Appear generally equivalent
- Insufficient information to determine

Notes for Committee Discussion

---

---

---

**Overall Observations**

Please summarize any overarching observations, concerns, or strengths not captured above.

---

---

---

**Committee Member Certification**

I certify that this evaluation was completed independently and without discussion with other committee members prior to submission.

Signature

Date